

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA, NORTHERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)	
)	CRIMINAL ACTION NO.
v.)	2:21cr346-MHT
)	(WO)
CHARISSA L. MOORE)	

ORDER

This cause is before the court on defendant Charissa L. Moore's third unopposed motion to continue trial. For the reasons set forth below, the court finds that jury selection and trial, now set for January 24, 2022, should be continued pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161.

While the granting of a continuance is left to the sound discretion of the trial judge, see *United States v. Stitzer*, 785 F.2d 1506, 1516 (11th Cir. 1986), the court is limited by the requirements of the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161. The Act provides in part:

"In any case in which a plea of not guilty is entered, the trial of a defendant charged in an information or indictment with the commission of an offense shall commence within seventy days from the filing date (and making public) of the information or indictment, or

from the date the defendant has appeared before a judicial officer of the court in which such charge is pending, whichever date last occurs."

§ 3161(c)(1). The Act excludes from the 70-day period any continuance based on "findings that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial." § 3161(h)(7)(A). In granting such a continuance, the court may consider, among other factors, whether the failure to grant the continuance "would be likely to ... result in a miscarriage of justice," § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i), or "would deny counsel for the defendant or the attorney for the Government the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence," § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).

The court concludes that, in this case, the ends of justice served by granting a continuance outweigh the interest of the public and Moore in a speedy trial.

Defense counsel represents that his client has been preliminary approved for pretrial diversion, subject to an investigation by the probation department, and that more time is needed to complete the application process. A continuance of the trial is therefore warranted and necessary. In addition, the government does not oppose the motion.

Accordingly, it is ORDERED as follows:

(1) The third unopposed motion to continue trial (Doc. 22) is granted.

(2) The jury selection and trial, now set for January 24, 2022, are reset for March 14, 2022, at 10:00 a.m., in Courtroom 2FMJ of the Frank M. Johnson Jr. United States Courthouse Complex, One Church Street, Montgomery, Alabama.

The United States Magistrate Judge shall postpone the change-of-plea and any other appropriate deadlines.

DONE, this the 3rd day of December, 2021.

/s/ Myron H. Thompson
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE